

(144) Francis P. Bulle attorney John M. Douglass et<sup>s</sup> sometimes called John Douglass <sup>Def.</sup>

against

Isaac T. Barbaree attorney of Erastus Woodard et<sup>s</sup>

{ In debt  
Def.

ff. 56  
Plaintiff

The defendant still failing to appear on the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of forty dollars the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 20<sup>th</sup> of January 1837 till paid and his costs by time about his suit in this behalf suspended. To be levied of the goods and chattels of the defendant in the hands of the Defendant to be administered. And the said Defendant in Money <sup>Def.</sup>

James W. Norfleet

Def.

against

William M. Wells

{ In debt  
Def.

ff. 50

Plaintiff

The defendant still failing to appear on the motion of the Plaintiff by his attorney is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant the sum of thirty five dollars and interest the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 1<sup>st</sup> day of May 1838 till paid and his costs by time about his suit in this behalf suspended. And the said Defendant in Money <sup>Def.</sup>

James A. Williamson Trustee ff.

Def.

against

Paymen<sup>t</sup>t Griffin Committee et<sup>s</sup> of Charles Summons et<sup>s</sup>  
The same

{ In debt

Def.

against

John Grinnan

{ In debt

Def.

Thomas D. Brule for Richard Boardman

{ In debt

against

John Sykes and Richard Porter

{ In debt

The discontinuance of these causes at the rule not being set aside. It is considered by the Court that they severally be confirmed.

Nobleton Belford

Def.

against

Stephen Murphy et<sup>s</sup> et<sup>s</sup> Randolph Edwards et<sup>s</sup>

Def.

The discontinuance of this cause at the Rule not being set aside. It is considered by the Court that it be confirmed.

Teste L.R. Edwards et<sup>s</sup>